DATA COLLECTION TOOL: Review of Available Monitoring Data

PROJECT TITLE: Measuring the Healthiness of Ghanaian Children's Food Environments to Prevent Obesity and Non-Communicable Diseases

Date of observation: |_____|/___|/___|/___||___| Time of observation: Name of interviewer:

For assessment in schools that have a monitoring framework and available monitoring data				
Name of school:	Date of interview:			
Name of policy/programme:				
Date of implementation of policy/programme:				
Date of monitoring://				

Independent document review by researcher

Instructions

Obtain a copy of the monitoring and evaluation report conducted at least 12 months after implementation of the policy/programme. If more than two reports are available, conduct your assessment based on findings from the most recent report. Complete the overview of the monitoring data in section 1 and complete the details of the monitoring data in section 2.

In section 2, place a tick (\checkmark) in the "yes" column if the monitoring data includes an assessment of the indicator, and note the findings in the last column. Place a tick (\checkmark) in the "no" column if the monitoring data does not include an assessment of the indicator. Place a tick (\checkmark) in the "I" column if the monitoring data does not include a complete or full assessment of the listed indicator.

1. Overview of monitoring data currently available

1.1 Type of monitoring data	Self-report, e.g. reported by caterers, cooks, teachers []	
	3 rd party review []	
1.2 Sample description	Full sample []	Specify number and population characteristics
	Subsample []	
1.3 Sanctions for non-compliance	Yes [] No []	If yes, specify
1.4 Assessment of frequency/proportion of sites that have implemented the policy/programme	Yes [] No []	If yes, complete questions 2.1-2.4
1.4 Assessment of the nutritional quality or "healthfulness" of foods provided or sold relative to nutrition standards or guideline	Yes [] No []	If yes, note down reference standard/guideline used here, and complete questions 2.5-2.7
1.4 Assessment of the nutritional quality or "healthfulness" of foods provided or sold not	Yes [] No []	If yes, detail here: e.g. the assessment was conducted based on definitions of "healthy"

relative to nutrition standards or guideline	and "unhealthy" developed by a research team; or the assessment
	reports on the most common foods provided or offered for sale.

2. Details of monitoring data currently available

Indicator Assessm					
	ConductedYN		ed?	Write down findings from the report	
2.1 Does the report detail the number or	T	IN	1		
proportion of schools implementing in the				No. of schools surveyed:	
programme?				No. of schools implementing:%	
2.2 Does the report detail the number or					mpieriteiting70
proportion of students in schools				No. of schools surveyed:	
implementing in the programme?				No. of students in implementing schools: Percentage of students in implementing schools:	
2.3 Does the report detail the number or					
proportion of schools complying with the				No. of schools surveyed	
programme?				No. of schools in compliance:	
programme:				Percentage of schools in compliance:	
2.4 Does the report detail the number or					
proportion of students in schools complying				No. of schools surveyed	
with the programme?				No. of students in complying schools:	
				Percentage of students in complying schools.	
2.5 Does the report provide a quantitative				% of foods meeting	e.g. Overall, 60% of
assessment (relative to each food group-based				food group-based	foods adhered to the
standard/guideline)?				standards?	food-based guidelines
					(12 schools sampled)
				% of schools having	e.g. 80% of schools
				or meeting food	implementing the
				group-based standards?	school food policy
				stanuarus:	89% of <u>schools</u> met
					the food-based
					standards for <u>snacks</u>
					(range of compliance
					varied between 32%
				Foods or standards	and 100%) e.g. Within the dairy
				most or least	category, yogurt
				compliant?	parfaits were most
					compliant (72%);
					cheeses were least
					compliant (28%)
2.6 Does the report provide a quantitative				% of foods meeting	e.g. 30% of <u>foods</u> sold
assessment (relative to each nutrient-based				nutrient-based	in primary schools met
standard/guideline)?				<u>standards?</u>	the standard for
				0/ af a h	<u>sodium</u>
				% of schools meeting	e.g. most primary
				nutrient based standards?	<u>schools</u> (86%) met between 7 and 10 out
			1	stallualus:	Detween 7 and 10 out

	nutrient and		
energy s			
	odium deli		
	ere the least		
compliant? (35%) co	mpliant		
e.g. low-	fat dairy		
products	were the		
most (87	%)		
2.7 Does the report provide a qualitative If yes, note down the findings from			
assessment? qualitative assessment below;			
Findings from qualitative assessment			
e.g. canteen managers reported that the whole grain standards were the most difficult to meet,	while low fat		
diary were the least difficult			
e.g. sodium standards were the most difficult to meet due to low availability of products meeting standard	, the		
standard			

Adapted from "Monitoring foods and beverages provided and sold in public sector settings" L'Abbe et. al., 2013